

Ecological Studies

Analysis and Synthesis

Edited by

W. D. Billings, Durham (USA) F. Golley, Athens (USA)
O. L. Lange, Würzburg (FRG) J. S. Olson, Oak Ridge (USA)

Volume 24

J. N. Kremer · S. W. Nixon

A Coastal Marine Ecosystem

Simulation and Analysis

With 80 Figures



Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York 1978

Professor Dr. JAMES N. KREMER
Department of Biological Sciences
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA 90007/USA

Professor Dr. SCOTT W. NIXON
Graduate School of Oceanography
University of Rhode Island
Kingston, RI 02881/USA

ISBN-13: 978-3-642-66719-0 e-ISBN-13: 978-3-642-66717-6
DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-66717-6

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data. Kremer, James N. 1945— . A coastal marine ecosystem. (Ecological studies; v. 24). Bibliography: p. Includes index. 1. Marine ecology—Mathematical models. 2. Marine ecology—Data processing. 3. Coastal ecology—Mathematical models. 4. Coastal ecology—Data processing. I. Nixon, Scott W., 1943— joint author. II. Title. III. Series. QH541.5.S3K73. 574.5'26. 77-22785.

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically those of translation, reprinting, re-use of illustrations, broadcasting, reproduction by photocopying machine or similar means, and storage in data banks.

Under § 54 of the German Copyright Law, where copies are made for other than private use, a fee is payable to the publisher, the amount of the fee to be determined by agreement with the publisher.

© by Springer-Verlag Berlin · Heidelberg 1978.

Softcover reprint of the hardcover 1st edition 1978

The use of registered names, trademarks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

Preface

One aim of the physical sciences has been to give an exact picture of the material world. One achievement of physics in the twentieth century has been to prove that that aim is unattainable.... There is no absolute knowledge. And those who claim it, whether they are scientists or dogmatists, open the door to tragedy. All information is imperfect. We have to treat it with humility.

Bronowski (1973)
The Ascent of Man

It seems particularly appropriate to us to begin this book with Jacob Bronowski's passionate message firmly in mind. Those who set out to construct numerical models, especially ones that are mechanistic and essentially deterministic, must work always with this awareness as a backdrop for their efforts. But this is also true for the most meticulous physiologist or observant naturalist. We are all dealing with simplifications and abstractions, all trying to figure out how nature works. Unfortunately, this common pursuit does not always lead to mutual understanding, and we have become increasingly aware over the past six years that many ecologists feel a certain hostility or at least distrust toward numerical modeling. In a number of cases the reasons for such feelings are personal and very understandable—hard-gotten data skimmed off by someone with little appreciation for the difficulties involved in obtaining reliable measurements, grandiose claims of predictability, the tendency for some model builders to treat other scientists as number-getters whose research can be directed in response to the needs of the model, etc. In other cases, however, it is often a lack of understanding of what is actually involved in building a mechanistic numerical model and what its uses and limitations are. Published articles tend to describe models briefly and in strictly mathematical terms, so as to stress some particular applications. Moreover, much of the modeling literature is very theoretical and appears to be concerned more with the behavior of differential equations than with living systems. It is difficult for the practicing biologist or ecologist who measures metabolic rates, or nutrient kinetics, or feeding patterns, to relate his efforts to equations with a few highly aggregated coefficients.

Part of our hope in writing this book is to make some contribution toward a synthesis of effort among those who work in the laboratory and the field, and those who are dealing with nature on a more abstract level. Or, if not a synthesis of effort, at least an increase in tolerance. For this reason, a large portion of the book is

devoted to a review and discussion of the empirical basis for the choices of various functional forms and the values of coefficients. The difficulties and uncertainties involved in arriving at many values serve to reinforce the caution of those who make the measurement, but also to emphasize the value of numerical systems analysis in exploring the implications and consequences of that uncertainty.

The Narragansett Bay model is concerned largely with a mechanistic numerical description of phytoplankton-zooplankton-nutrient dynamics in a temperate estuary, and the ways in which these dynamics are influenced by light, temperature, and hydrography. While the effects of some higher trophic levels are also part of the model, there is virtually no mechanistic detail included for the microbial aspects of the system. Bacterial decomposition and regeneration are simulated entirely through empirical regressions relating net rates to temperature. This crude representation is primarily a consequence of the general lack of detailed knowledge about microbial dynamics in coastal marine ecosystems. Fortunately, this situation is changing rapidly, and many of the recent advances in marine microbial ecology will come to influence future ecosystem modeling efforts. In fact, the next volume in the Ecological Studies series edited by Dr. G. Rheinheimer presents an extensive discussion of the microbial ecology of Kiel Bight, with emphasis on the role of marine bacteria and fungi.

For the most part, the mathematics required in mechanistic ecosystem modeling are not elaborate. A few basic forms appear repeatedly, and an introductory calculus course should make the reader reasonably comfortable with the expressions used here. In order to serve as a review of some principles that are particularly appropriate to understanding the model, however, we have included a chapter on the mathematical concepts involved.

As anyone who has worked with simulation models is painfully aware, the development of the computer program or algorithm for the model is an integral part of the effort. It is no trivial undertaking to develop an efficient program for a system as complex as the Narragansett Bay model. For the most part, the translation of system-flow diagrams and equations into a computer program is discussed neither in descriptions of various ecosystem models nor in programming manuals. It has become increasingly clear to us that many people who are not computer programmers are completely mystified by this step in the modeling process. In some cases, the programming is dismissed as if it involved nothing more intellectually challenging than key punching. There are, of course, many levels on which one can discuss the development of simulation algorithms, and this book does not attempt to teach computer programming. However, to give some feeling for the problem involved in developing the Narragansett Bay model, we have included a discussion of the algorithm with examples at various levels of programming complexity. Even those with no knowledge of computer languages should be able to gain some appreciation of the process and the effort involved. For the experienced programmer, the model provides some intriguing challenges. We have described some of our solutions, but there are surely others equally as good or better.

Much of the preceding has described the effort we have made to provide a description of a numerical ecosystem model that will be useful to those who do not really consider themselves systems ecologists or modelers. However, we have also

tried to provide a rigorous and detailed description of our particular model that will be of interest to others in this field. While it was developed for Narragansett Bay, there is really nothing in the model except for some of the forcing functions and the choices of initial conditions that ties it to this particular area. In the more general sense, it is a model of a temperate, plankton-based marine ecosystem with relatively constant salinity and a well-mixed water column. Our aim in developing the model has not been to get the best fit to a set of observed points or to make direct predictions about what the bay might do in the future. We have used the model to synthesize a great deal of information on marine ecosystems in general, and on Narragansett Bay in particular. We have then tried to go the other way and to use the model in an analysis of the bay ecosystem, to explore a number of hypotheses about which processes may or may not be important in forming the patterns that emerge in the natural system. We have tried not to confuse numbers with knowledge.

Autumn 1977

JAMES N. KREMER
SCOTT W. NIXON

Contents

1. Perspectives	1
1.1 The Evolution of Ecosystem Models	1
1.2 The Modeling Process	4
1.3 Narragansett Bay	5
1.3.1 Physical Setting	5
1.3.2 Biology	9
2. The Narragansett Bay Model	14
2.1 General Formulation Strategy	14
2.2 A Conceptual Overview	14

Theoretical Formulations

3. Physical Forcing Functions	23
3.1 Temperature	23
3.2 Solar Radiation	24
3.3 Tidal Circulation	27
3.4 Exchange with Rhode Island Sound	30
3.5 River Flow	35
4. Phytoplankton	37
4.1 Temperature-Growth Relationship	37
4.2 Effects of Nutrients	39
4.3 Effects of Light	44
4.3.1 Theoretical Background	44
4.3.2 Time and Depth Integration of the Steele Equation	48
4.3.3 Light Acclimation and the Selection of I_{opt}	52
4.3.4 Self-Shading and Extinction Coefficient	55
4.3.5 Sinking Rate	56
4.4 Two Phytoplankton Species Groups	57
5. Zooplankton	60
5.1 General Background	60
5.2 Ingestion	62
5.2.1 Ration, Filtering Rate, and Experimental Observations	64
5.2.2 The Maximum Ration	67
5.2.3 Reducing the Maximum Ration	69

5.2.4 Cannibalism	73
5.3 Assimilation	74
5.4 Respiration	76
5.5 Excretion	79
5.6 Reproduction	83
5.7 Egg Hatching Time	85
5.8 Juvenile Development	86
5.8.1 Growth Rate of Juveniles	87
5.8.2 Development Time	88
5.8.3 Food Limitation	89
6. Additional Compartments	91
6.1 Carnivorous Zooplankton	91
6.2 Adult Fish and Higher Trophic Levels	94
6.3 The Benthos	96
6.3.1 Grazing by Clams	96
6.3.2 Nutrient Regeneration	100
6.4 Nutrients	101
Simulation and Analysis	
7. Mathematical Considerations and the Computer Program	107
7.1 Instantaneous Rates, Finite-Interval Rates, and Integration	107
7.2 Developing the Computer Program	112
7.2.1 General Comments	112
7.2.2 Digital Computer Simulation	113
7.2.3 The Narragansett Bay Computer Program	115
8. The Tidal Mixing Model	126
9. The Standard Run	131
9.1 Selection of Parameters	131
9.2 Zooplankton	132
9.3 Phytoplankton	133
9.4 General Description	135
9.5 Rates and Mechanisms	147
9.6 Relative Role of Nutrients and Grazing in Phytoplankton Control	154
9.7 Zooplankton Excretion and Benthic Fluxes	157
9.8 Metabolic Carbon Budgets for Zooplankton	157
9.9 Annual Integrals	159
10. The Role of Biological Detail	164
10.1 Light Optima and Acclimation	164
10.2 The "Most Limiting" Nutrient	167
10.3 Two Species Groups and Luxury Nutrient Kinetics	168
10.4 Juvenile Zooplankton and Cannibalism	170
10.5 The Role of Carnivores	173

11. Sensitivity and Stability	177
11.1 Sensitivity Analysis	177
11.1.1 Initial Conditions	177
11.1.2 Hydrodynamic Characteristics	178
11.1.3 Extinction Coefficient	179
11.1.4 Phytoplankton	181
11.1.5 Zooplankton	184
11.1.6 Seasonal Cycles	186
11.1.7 General Implications and the Role of Sensitivity Analysis	188
11.1.8 A Note on Numerical Stability	190
12. Applications and Limitations	193
12.1 Ecosystem Modeling and Environmental Management	193
12.2 Holism and Reductionism	198
References	200
Author and Subject Index	211